Letters

No Bones Aboru It!

Arkansas Controversy Resumes Re: "The Arkansas Case for Creationism" (May)

Your reporting on the Arkansas Bill 590 issue was superbly objective. I read anot r account in a publication which presented the where issue in the stereotypical good vs. evaluating. That gets a lot of "amens" and financial support, but only widens the gap between Christians and the real world.

Not all efforts will be successful from the good vs. evil viewpoint; but in God's eyes, the proclamation of truth in love is going to bring Him the glory.

Dan Waud Sequim, Washington

Your article on the Arkansas creation trial was a colossal disappointment and a gross distortion of the truth. By mimicking the secular media's foc's on the out-of-context, irrelevant, and sensational, you held up the creation witnesses and defense attorneys to public scorn.

You also misrepresented a spaller man for the Attorney General, thereby casting aspersions on the credibility of another with rs.

Further you distor ind the words of the valiar science witnesses, many of whom risked their professional reputations to testify. Contrary to your uninformed claim that they did not fight "science with science," these scientists gave three solid days of scientific evidence for creationism! If your reporter had attended the trial, he would have known this.

To cap it all off, you printed an interview with Dr. W. T. Brown in which you proudly paraded the scientific evidence you believe should have been givert at the trial. Well, a little first-hand knowledge would have told you that this very same evidence was presented at the trial.

In brief, your report was woefully ignorar and potentially libelous. This is the kind of thing we expect from the world—nor Ellow Christians. Norman L. Geisler D. ; Texas

I want to express my strongest and most hearty congratulations on the splendid way in which your staff hanciled the thorny and emotional Arkansas issue. Martin Mawyer should be applauded for his balanced and objective treatment of the subject.

To even attempt to bring the teaching of God's Word to the bar of human justice and allow it to enter the dock and be weighed by human reason is to give the Creator over to the creature.

The events of Arkansas were not a tragedy as much as a traves¹. I hope your readers will see the unbiblical idea of creation-science and the unbiblical doctrines of the A .U for what they are. Perhaps out of this, God will still have the glory.

William White, Jr. Warrington, Pennsylvania

The articles on creationism were worth the whole year's subscription.

Madu... Parker Delray Beach, Florida ⁻

Your articles on Arkansas and the creation trial were thought provoking, but why were you so hard on Arkansas? There *are* Christians here, you know! Your comments made our "Land of Opportunity" look like Sodom and Gomorrah.

> Phil Leslie Jonesboro, Arkansas

I would recommend to your readers the book *Darwin Retried* by Norman McBeth, an evolutior t lawyer who devastates Darwin.

> Bob Drummond Mt. Clemens, __ichigan

When Christians assert as necessarily Riblical he scientific notions of the seventee ath contury, the time has come to have the majority of believing

120 24



scientists to expose this fraud for what it is.

The kind of creationism advocated by Dr. Morris and his associates is not only bad science (and probably bad theology), it is palpably false. The earth is demonstrably older than just a few thousand years, and the fossils could not possibly have been laid down by Noah's flood.

The sooner the bulk of the conservative Christian community realizes this, the sooner we will be able to return to our proper role as Christs flock on earth.

> E.B. Davis Bloomington, Indiana

Man, under the guise of academic freedom seeks to allow creation-science to be taught side-by-side with evolution science. The one overriding stipulation is that no mention be made of the Creator.

This is simply evolution by another name, an effect without a cause. In each case it is a matter of serving the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed forever (Rom. 1:25).

For once, I find myself in agreement with the anti-God A.C.L.U. The concept of a Creator is itself an inherently religious belief. Creation-science cannot be taught without reference to that religious belief.

Creation science, apart from the Creator, is an absurd contradiction and the highest insult to a Holy God. Arthur C. Garwood

Bremerton, Washington

At the trial, the defense put on the



Arkansas Times Magazine 500 East Markham Markham Tower East, Suite 110C Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 (501) 375-2985

Publisher Alan Leveritt Editor Bill Terry

Associate Editor Rick Martin

Art Director James Stokes

Contributing Editors Robert L. Brown Bob Cochran B. C. Hall John Clellon Holmes Kenneth Neill George F. Scheer Mel White James Whitehead Miller Williams Paul Williams

> Poetry Editor Miller Williams

Francis I. Gwaltney, 1921-1981

Production Manager Ira L. Hocut

Advertising Manager Olivia Myers

Account Executives Gloria Lawson Deborah Phillips Charles Huncke

Los Angeles Representative Katherine Stadler 504 South Ogden Drive Los Angeles, CA 90036 (213) 933-8061

Midwest Representative Reynes And Associates 2 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 801 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 930-9496

New York Representative Molly Lockwood Catalyst Communications, Inc. 244 Madison Avenue (3A) New York, New York 10016 (212) 557-7510

> Circulation Manager Kathy L. Mason

> > Office Manager Doris Howell Assistant

Rhonda Pierce

ABC Membership Applied For Member Of The

City & Regional Magazine Association

